DEAD BEAT MOMS

Prof. Dr. Hugo de Garis

profhugodegaris@yahoo.com http://profhugodegaris.wordpress.com

Abstract

Dead beat moms are divorced mother fluffies who have been given sole custody of the kids. Dead beat moms expect their ex-husbands to help pay for those kids whom the dead beat moms see as theirs. Dead beat moms are one of the enemies of the masculists as this essay shows.

I'm a great believer in the power of labels. Where would Marx be without his "capitalists" "proletariat" "surplus value" "alienation" "exploitation" etc. Labels put new concepts into people's minds. The best ideologists know this and create new labels to sell their ideologies. A two or three syllable label that slips easily off the tongue has real sticking power in the memory and is easily used. It becomes a meme that is easily transmitted from one human brain to the next.

I've been watching feminist, antifeminist, masculist, MGTOW etc videos lately, and kept hearing the term "dead beat dad" coming from fluffie feminists who were angry at their ex-husbands who resisted giving their ex-wives

money to pay for the kids to whom she had been given sole custody. (Fluffies, for whom the term is new, are traditional women who expect to be kept financially by a man. The primary aim of the masculist movement is to wipe out fluffies, by forcing them to become FIPs (financially independent persons.) With fluffies removed from the scene, including fluffie feminist attitudes, life would be so much pleasanter for men.)

As a masculist (I invented the term in the early 1970s) I expect a woman to be a FIP who has the career skills to be able to pay for the kids on her own if she is given sole custody of them. I disliked the term "dead beat dad" due to its traditional gender role assumption bias, namely where men were expected to pay for both the kids and the parasitic wife, the fluffie.

When feminists started divorcing in large numbers, they still kept their traditional fluffie attitudes towards men's traditional role, as payer for the kids. They were "fluffie feminists." They had had their feminist consciousness raised but had not had their masculist consciousness raised due to ignorance. They had not heard of masculist ideas and had not felt any political pressure coming from the masculists.

So I started thinking about a better label from the masculist perspective than "dead beat dad." My first attempt was "resistant dad" but that seemed rather lame and still lay within the scope of the traditional gender role assumption bias. I wanted a label that pointed the accusatory finger at

fluffie ex-wives who expected their ex-husbands to pay for "her" kids if she gets sole custody of them.

I had an epiphany and the term "dead beat mom" was born.

Masculists are pushing politically for new gender political laws that are much fairer to men, because under the current system where fluffie feminist judges rule the divorce courts and fluffie feminist politicians make the laws in women's rights committees in parliaments, men are now getting so badly extorted and financially ruined, that a massive reaction in the form of MGTOWism is growing and growing. MGTOW (men going their own way) means that millions of men are simply refusing to marry and have kids because they don't want to be financially screwed and denied equal custody of their kids by fluffie feminist dominated divorce court rulings.

So, correspondingly, millions of women are facing a massive shortage of "good men" i.e. men who are prepared to marry and pay for kids. Masculists label such men contemptuously "robot" males, because their primary function is to work for females. In the past, that made a lot of sense, because there was no contraception, so families had lots of kids. The industrial revolution forced families to scale down to the nuclear family to be able to move to new jobs when previous employers went bankrupt. The mother raised the many kids, and the father earned the money.

But in the 1960s one of the greatest social revolutions of all time occurred, namely the invention of the contraceptive pill which allowed women to control the number of births they wanted. Higher education for women, and household gadgets allowed women to have careers, so a flood of women entered the work force and the professions.

This female careerism had a liberating effect on men. They could now afford to work less, so a new ideology for men grew up in the 1980s called masculism, which pushed women to have careers equally with men, and not parasite on them financially as was the case with the traditional gender roles. Since I was one of the principal theorists of the European masculist movement in that decade, I dreamt up a label for traditional women who continued to expect that men should pay for them. I labeled them "fluffies" based on the word "fluff" i.e. light, not serious, not adult, not responsible, and labeled the new careerist women who did pull their weight financially, FIPs (financially independent persons).

As masculists we got onto the European media (British, German, French, Dutch, Belgian) and started haranguing the fluffies to become FIPs, with such slogans as "If you want to have a man, have a career!" "Fluffies can rot on the shelf!" "A fluffie can only be a fluffie if she can get her financial claws into a robot male, but as the supply of robot males dries up as more and more men are influenced by masculist ideas, and see their fluffie wives as parasites, then fluffies will be forced to become FIPs if they want to eat!" and to robot males we said "Rather a FIP than a fluffie!" "A fluffie wife will parasite on you before the divorce and after!"

An important component of the masculist movement was the fathers' rights movement. Divorced fathers were fighting mostly for joint custody of children after a divorce. Many fathers were strongly attached to their kids and were deeply hurt when fluffie feminist judges almost automatically gave custody to the mothers. Many countries did set up joint custody default laws, but from the point of view of the masculists, this did not resolve fully the problems men were having in the divorce courts.

When a divorced couple has joint custody of the kids, the masculists agreed that both the father and the mother should pay roughly equally for the upkeep of the kids. Fathers who have regular interaction with their kids care for them and are willing to help pay for their upkeep.

A problem arises when the mother wants sole custody of the kids and then demands that the father pay either entirely or partly for the kids' upkeep. Research shows that when the mother gets sole custody of the kids, and the father then moves to another city, then after 5 years, 90% of those fathers have lost contact with their kids. That's the reality.

Masculists push very hard for women to become FIPs. If a woman is a fluffie, i.e. not career competent, incapable of earning good money because she did not invest in her education to the limit of her ability, then she is utterly eschewed by masculists. Masculists simply refuse to have relationships with fluffies, because when the divorce comes, which is pretty much a fifty fifty event in many

countries, a man married to a fluffie will get destroyed financially by the divorce courts now largely controlled by fluffie feminist judges.

In many advanced countries, fluffies have virtually died out. Women know that if they are fluffies, men will not look twice at them as marriage material, since men know that fluffies are very bad news in the divorce court. The fluffie feminist judges will often give sole custody of the kids to the mother and force the father to pay for both the kids and the parasitic wife.

Naturally, the fathers resent this deeply and try to avoid paying. They will often reduce their earning power by working less, or moving to another state or country. Many divorced men end up in jail for not paying their fluffie exwives money for the kids whom the fathers rarely see. From the perspective of the fathers, they are throwing money into a black hole and get no benefit back from their efforts. They are the victims of "dead beat moms" who are fluffies, career incompetent, who look on men as cheque books whom fluffies can exploit. They are the type of women whom masculists spit on, and aim to wipe out. They are enslavers of men.

In most cases now, in many countries, joint custody is the norm, even the legal default decision in the divorce courts, so fathers are usually quite willing to continue to help pay for the upkeep of the kids, since they do get a benefit back from their efforts, namely the love and companionship of their kids due to the joint (shared) custody.

But, when a FIP mother insists on taking sole custody of the kids for whatever reason, and the judge gives the mother sole custody of the kids, then the father should NOT be legally obliged to help pay for the upkeep of the kids, since he gets no benefit from them. He is seen simply as a cheque book by the FIP ex-wife and the fluffie feminist divorce court judge.

Traditionally, a father paid for the (many) kids AND a parasitic wife. A divorcing FIP mother who gets sole custody, has only the (one or two) kids and NO parasitic husband to pay for. Its much easier for her. So if she pushes for sole custody, for whatever reason, and gets it, then she pays all the costs of the kids. Such laws will make her think twice about the idea of pushing for sole custody, because she will then have the full burden of the cost of the kids. In the US, it costs about \$200,000 to raise one kid.

Studies show that kids who grow up in fatherless homes are much more likely to drop out of high school, be delinquents, become drug addicts, get arrested, and go to jail. So a FIP mother should keep this in mind when she's thinking about pushing the judge for joint custody. It's not good for her kids to deprive them of joint custody.

The real problem however remains, the fluffie ex-wife who has not bothered to become sufficiently FIP to pay for both herself and her kids if she gets sole custody. Masculists put enormous pressure on women to be FIPs so that robot men do not get screwed by the divorce experience, which is

often so bad for men, that their suicide rate skyrockets to about 100 times the usual male suicide rate (which happens to be 4 times the female rate.) Masculists are also pushing for new principles that are fair to men in the divorce courts. Fluffie feminist judges need to be replaced by feminist-masculist judges and new divorce laws need to be made that balance men's and women's rights equally.

The most effective method of the masculists to force fluffies to become FIPs is simply refusing to have relationships with them. Masculist men do not want to go through the financial horrors of the divorce courts biased against them by ignorant fluffie feminist judges. What we are now seeing is a mass exodus from marriage by men, who no longer tolerate the financial risks of divorce from fluffie wives.

As a result, millions of women around the world are really suffering, because their deepest visceral needs of having a baby are not being met. Often they feel themselves obliged to become single parents, and raise the child alone, which then causes the child to suffer from being fatherless, especially with little boys who get no male model at home nor in female dominated primary schools.

There are now millions of MGTOWs (men going their own way) who refuse to marry and have kids. Some avoid women altogether. Others look on women as vaginas and nothing else. They see getting married and having kids as stepping through a mine field, never knowing when they will step on a mine and have their financial lives blown up

in the divorce courts that are ruled by fluffie feminist judges and feminist biased divorce laws.

The solution to all this misery seems fairly simple, and is what the masculists are pushing for both ideologically in society at large, and specifically in the divorce courts and in the parliaments. It can be presented in a multistep plan.

- 1. Socialize all girls, in the home, and in the schools, to be FIPs, no more of this feminist garbage of "freedom of choice to be a housewife or a careerist." That kind of feminist thinking is oblivious to the cost to some future robot husband. It is selfish on the part of feminists, who do not take into account the male side of the issue. A fluffie housewife can only be a fluffie housewife if some robot husband is prepared to be parasited upon. Such robot males are dying out fast, thank god.
- 2. **Teach society the ideas of masculism**, of men's lib, so that everyone is just as well informed of men's issues as women's issues.
- 3. Change the divorce laws so that joint custody is the default decision, and if sole custody goes to the mother, she pays the total cost of the kids. In other words, remove the divorce mine field from men, so that they are not seen as cheque books by fluffie exwives and fluffie feminist divorce court judges and politicians.

- 4. Restore respect for men in society. Men are the superior sex as judged by virtually any scientific criterion one chooses to use. The genii are males because men have a 10% higher IQ variance than women. Men win 99% of the science Nobel prizes. Men dominate in politics, in business, in finance, in the arts, in literature, etc. Men have much higher levels which testosterone makes them aggressive, more ambitious, more dogged, more single minded, more persistent, so they perform better, outperforming females in virtually all fields, so they should be respected.
- 5. Encourage both sexes to see each other as equally respect worthy, so that men become much more willing to have kids with women, who are now FIPs who are conscious of both men's and women's issues. Then millions of women will become a lot happier because they will be able to have husbands who love them and sex them, as well as have kids who are not fatherless.
- 6. *Put enormous moral pressure on dead beat moms.* Wipe them out. Ostracize them so that virtually all women feel the enormous moral pressure on them from all branches of society to be FIPs. "Fluffies don't eat!" Fluffies are a burden to themselves, to society, and particularly to their stupid robot husbands who get dragged over the coals in fluffie feminist divorce courts. No wonder they are deserting marriage in their millions and choosing not to have children.