GLOBIST MANIFESTO

Prof. Dr. Hugo de Garis

profhugodegaris@yahoo.com http://profhugodegaris.wordpress.com

Abstract

The political impact of Moore's Law is enormous. It will give the planet the means to create a world language, to homogenize the planet culturally, to create a democratic global state, and thus rid the world of war, of the arms trade, of ignorance and poverty. It will connect and educate everyone, making everyone affluent. This manifesto explains what the Globists want, why they want it, and how they plan to achieve their goals.

1. Introduction

Probably the most significant phenomenon of our times is Moore's Law, which states that the number of transistors that one can cram onto a chip keeps doubling every 18 months or so. When Gordon Moore (co-founder of the microchip company Intel) formulated this trend in 1965, there were only a small number of transistors on ICs (integrated circuits). Now there are billions, because we have had many "Moore doublings" over the past decades. This enormous electronic capacity shows no signs of letting up, because as one technology exhausts its capabilities, it is

overtaken by a new one. This constant renewal is providing computing and telecommunication possibilities that humanity could only dream of even only a few decades ago.

However, even though we may marvel at what has already occurred, the main impact of Moore's Law lies in the future. Physics says that there is effectively no limit to how small a substrate need be to be able to transmit information. For example, one could put one bit of information on a single atom, a feat probably to be achieved before 2020.

All this fabulous electronic capacity has had a huge impact on the telecommunications industry. The internet and cell phones are examples of what the telecom industry has been able to dream up in recent decades. In fact, the rate at which information can be transmitted has been doubling even faster than Moore's Law. For the past few decades it has been doubling every *twelve* months, a phenomenon called "BRAD" (bit rate annual doubling). Given the likelihood that there is effectively no lower limit of the size of "bit transmitters" this means that 30 years from now, the internet speed will probably be a billion times faster. In 40 years it will probably be a trillion times faster.

What could one do with an internet that is a billion times faster than today's, a phenomenon likely to exist within the lifetimes of many people reading this?

This manifesto claims that one of the major consequences of a billion fold greater internet speed will be the creation of a democratic global state, called "Globa." Democratic nations are far less likely to go to war with each other, so the prevalence of warfare in the world will go right down, so that the nearly 2 trillion dollars a year humanity currently wastes on purchasing arms can be diverted to humanitarian pursuits.

A billion fold faster internet will allow the citizens of the planet to receive the media of the world – "everyone gets everything," which will put pressures on the creation of a world language. This is turn will make the transmission of ideas round the world much easier, and lead eventually to the creation of a globally homogenized world culture.

With these prerequisites in place, the final goal of the "Globists" (i.e. to create a global state), will be much more readily achievable.

In a war free, highly educated world, we can get rid of poverty, of the arms trade, of ignorance, of suffering, of disease, and make people a lot happier than they are today. This is the dream of the Globists, who feel that finally, now that we have Moore's Law, we have the tools needed to make this dream a reality, and probably within half a century, given the rate at which Moore's Law is operating.

This manifesto lays out what needs to be done to achieve this dream of a war free, culturally homogenized, linguistically unified, democratic world state.

2. What Needs to Be Done and Why?

a) Wire the World

Since Moore's Law is basic to the vision of the Globists, considerable efforts should be made to ensure that Moore's Law continues for the next few decades to enable the Globists' dream to come into fruition. It is likely that sheer economic forces will make this happen. In China for example, at the time of writing (2012) about 500 million Chinese have access to the internet and 800 million have a cell phone. Within a decade or so it is likely that most people on the earth will be using the internet and cell phones (or other mass communication devices.)

As the bit transmission rate keeps increasing, it will become easier and easier to give everyone all the world's media. This process has already started. For example this author has on his iPad, an "app" which allows him to listen to 1000s of radio stations from all over the planet. The user interface allows the choice of which continent, then which country, then which city, and then a list of the city's radio stations that the user can listen to. With today's (2012) band width available on the domestic internet, it is technically possible to provide 1000s of radio stations. Admittedly most of them are in the national languages of the countries of origin, but increasingly more of them are going global in their reach, and hence use English.

Something similar is also happening with television. The author also has an "app" on his iPad that allows him to look at about 100 TV channels from around the world. Again,

most of them are in the local languages, but increasingly, the major countries have already created global television channels that use English (e.g. US, UK, Australia, France, Germany, Japan, Russia, Korea, China, Qatar, etc). As bandwidth increases and costs come down, more and more countries will use English to spread their national cultural messages to the world.

As this happens a linguistic "snow ball effect" will take place. English is already far and away the most spoken first or second language in the world. As more young people notice that English is the world language, and that so much media on the internet is in English, they will choose to learn English as their second language.

This in turn will impact on the decisions of governments as to which languages to use when spreading their national cultural messages to the world. They know that English is already the world language, so they will choose to broadcast in English (as well as their own national language.) Thus, the "linguistic snowball effect" is generated. The higher is the percentage of programs on the world media that are in English, the higher is the percentage of the world's citizens who will choose to learn English as the world language. Telecom ministers will then be more likely to choose English as their language to reach the world, because they know that English is the language that is the most understood worldwide.

The creation of a world language will only occur once, since people will simply not bother to learn a second

"world language." It takes too much time and effort to learn a foreign (world) language, so they just won't bother with a second one. Learning only *one* world language will be a kind of linguistic "qwerty effect."

b) Compulsory English in All Schools Around the World

At first, this proposal by the Globists sounds dictatorial and culturally chauvinistic, but it is virtually unavoidable. The world needs a world language. It is coming, and is a vital prerequisite to achieve the vision of the Globists. Without a global language the Globists feel, there will never be world peace, and certainly no global state. Probably, some governments will object to English being the global language, e.g. the French, and several other countries, but the linguistic snowball has already built up so much momentum that it is now unstoppable. It will simply keep rolling until it reaches saturation, i.e. until everyone speaks at least two languages, their own local national language, and the world language.

Those countries that are too myopic to see the writing on the wall will lose out in their economic competitiveness. Those countries that implement a compulsory program of English teaching at all levels of their schooling will become more economically competitive in the international arena, since their citizens will be more able to communicate and close business deals than their monolingual competitors from other countries. Ministers of education and telecommunications should be made very conscious of this by the Globist lobbyists. Globists should preach the idea of "compulsory English in schools" in their national media sent across the planet. All countries have made education compulsory for children. All children need to learn to read, to write, to calculate, etc. Such basic skills are essential to their personal survival in the economic market place.

In the world of the next few decades, anyone not knowing the world language will be considered an illiterate, because they will be cut off from the world's knowledge, from world culture. They will be like country bumpkins going to the city for the first time and not knowing how to speak to the city slickers.

As more and more countries make the learning of English compulsory in schools, greater pressure will be felt by the straggling countries. The Globists can then direct their attention to such straggling countries, ridiculing them, and shaming them for their backwardness and callousness towards their own citizens, depriving them of the fruits of world culture and commerce.

c) Culture Bashing: A Globification Tool to Shake the Monos Out of Their Nationalist Complacency

In migrant countries, the ethic of "PC" (political correctness) is very strong. This makes sense *within* such countries because migrants to these countries need to feel welcome, and not be rejected because they come from

some country that the migrant country doesn't like particularly. For example, (educated) Americans despise the Chinese government because it has killed more people than Stalin or Hitler, yet Chinese immigrants to America are (officially at least) welcomed as "new Americans" and hence are not criticized, not culture bashed.

However, PC is counter productive when it comes to one of the basic prerequisites of globification (i.e. the creation of a global state), and that is the creation of a *culturally homogenized* global citizenry.

In today's world, there are huge cultural differences, ideological differences, religious differences, etc between peoples, making the idea of a war free global state quite impractical ("Globalony.")

One of the essential goals of the Globists is to culturally homogenize the planet, and that will be difficult if the "monos" (mono cultured people) remain stuck in their monocultured ways. One very effective way to "shake the monos out of their nationalistic complacency" is to culture bash them.

What is "culture bashing?" It is severe criticism of the inferiorities of a given culture's customs and habits by the citizens of other cultures, and particularly when the criticisms come from the "multis" (multi cultured people) who are familiar with the customs being criticized, but who are also familiar with the alternative customs and habits of other cultures that they have lived in. These multis thus

have a basis for comparison, and can speak with real authority because they have lived in several cultures.

In today's world (according to BBC's travel service) half a billion people travel internationally each year. That means that soon, the majority of people on the earth will have lost, at least to some extent, their totally monocultured status. In other words, the world is becoming increasingly "multi" and hence more open to the criticisms of the multis towards the monos.

In a decade or two, a tipping point will be reached, when the number of multis will outweigh the number of monos, so that the severe criticisms of the multis will become more acceptable, because a higher proportion of the world's citizens will be more open to "multi" thinking.

As the internet gets faster, and a global media ("glomedia") is established increasingly, and as English becomes increasingly the world language, then billions of people will be able to communicate with each other.

At this point, the Globists can then push for the reversal of attitudes towards "Culture Bashing" by changing its current status of being "rude," to being "essential" and "an important stepping stone towards globification."

But culture bashing hurts, if one is a mono, living in a culture that is being bashed, especially when the bashing is coming from all sides. Imagine how a nationalistic mono will feel when some custom of his is being heavily

criticized from dozens of countries across the globe, as made visible on the world media, expressed in the world language.

In the 1990s, for example, in Japan, there were many western researchers attracted by Japan's salaries and the prospect that Japan would become the dominant economy by 2000. But it didn't happen. The westerners were repelled by the many inferiorities of Japanese culture and voted with their feet. By the end of the decade few of them were left. Before they left, they took part in a lot of "Japan bashing", which the Japanese just shrugged off. The criticisms simply didn't penetrate. It was water off a duck's back.

But these criticisms made a lot of sense. The Japanese could have learned a lot from these western multis, but they chose not to, with the result that the Japanese continue to suffer the consequences of these "inferiorities."

It is only human nature to dismiss heavy criticism directed at oneself. It is (national or nationalist) ego defense. It is to be expected that if a citizen of country X criticizes the customs of country Y to a citizen of country Y, then all too probably, the "Yist" will simply reject the criticisms as due to the "Xist's" bias.

But, if the same criticisms come from many different countries, e.g. if 95% of people around the world express their contempt of some custom of a given culture/country, then it will be virtually impossible for the citizens of that

country to reject them. They will feel emotionally, that there is real wisdom in the crowd, and that 95% of the world's citizens cannot be wrong. It will turn their heads, and make them do some real and painful soul searching.

This "wisdom of the crowd" can be very useful to the Globists, who can encourage the planet to engage in culture bashing with the aim to foster cultural homogenization.

Once the world is talking to the world in a world language, world wide culture bashing becomes practical. Once the older PC values are reversed, people will feel freer to culture bash. It will be encouraged by the Globists, by the spirit of the times, by the enabling technologies.

What will be the effect of culture bashing (CB)? It will create a form of global competition of ideas, of customs, of life styles. Only the best will survive. Most will die out. Most will not be competitive with the best. As a result of this, most people will become "global citizens", absorbing a global culture, and since that set of ideas/customs are shared world wide, we can talk about the cultural homogenization of the planet.

But, one may argue, that would make for a more boring world. The counter to this is that it would be voluntary, and that each person could argue that he/she felt culturally much richer being a Globan than a nationalist. The global culture would be far richer than any national culture, and ultimately, national cultures will die out, being replaced by

a far more attractive global culture. Only the best ideas/customs will survive by global public vote.

As the world globifies, it is likely that religious and ideological differences will die out, or at least the mix of ideas/customs will be much the same the world over. Once this happens, it will be much easier for people to identify with other people, because they will be thinking in the same way.

Once this happens, it will be easier for them to unify politically, and eventually to form a global state "Globa."

Hence the Globists should encourage CB (culture bashing). It will be painful at first for the nationalist monos, but in this case, the end definitely justifies the means. The end is the creation of a democratic global state, which would be war free, educated, rich, and civilized. It is coming. The Globists simply want to hurry it along.

What form might CB take?

Here are some examples, namely criticisms of the cultures of China, the US, and India.

If the Chinese are told by most of the world, that the country is poor, dirty, corrupt, mean spirited, 5 times poorer than the west, and worst of all, has the most brutal government in history, that has killed more people than Stalin or Hitler (mostly under Mao, whose face is still on the country's money) then the Chinese who are still too

poor to travel much, will react with nationalistic pride, since their government has been pumping them for decades with nationalistic propaganda on the Chinese media, over which the Chinese government has monopoly control. The Chinese government also bans international satellite dishes, so the Chinese people remain very largely untraveled, uncosmopolitan, "non world citizens" and utterly chauvinist, even jingoist.

Culture bashing will be extremely painful for the Chinese. But having their national ego badly bruised will get them thinking. Hopefully, they will learn to be ashamed of being Chinese, and hence become motivated to upgrade themselves, to develop economically a lot more, to legalize (e.g. by having ten million lawyers instead of 300,000), to democratize, and to civilize (i.e. losing their awful mean spirited abuse towards members of the "out group.").

By 2020, according to the Chinese government, 100 million Chinese will be traveling internationally every year. They will then experience how the rest of the world looks down on them, due to their being such a brutal culture, in which anyone haranguing the Chinese government is shipped off to a "laogai" i.e. a "gulag" style slave labor camp for political prisoners, which today contain between half to two million people. About 50 million Chinese prisoners have been through these camps and about half of them never returned. The Chinese will be brutally confronted with "cognitive dissonance" when they travel internationally and learn/feel that most of the rest of the world (especially the

democratic world) looks down on them, treating China as a "moral shit hole."

The US is easy to criticize. It is the only industrialized nation in the world that does not have a national health service. One of the basic criteria that has to be satisfied in order to be classified as a civilized nation by the world, is that a nation has to take care of the physical health of its citizens. America does not do that. It has tens of millions of citizens without any health insurance, who then die prematurely with greater frequency. America is therefore not civilized. It is an international pariah when it comes to its lack of national health insurance, a brutal, uncaring, pariah.

The US murders its own murderers. The hypocrisy of this seems to escape most Americans. The few other countries in the world which murder their murderers are China (which murders more of its own citizens than the rest of the world combined), North Korea, Iran, etc – hardly paragons of civilized nations.

Americans have 10,000s of gun deaths a year compared to Japan's 100, because buying a gun in the US is so easy, but illegal in Japan and China etc. Mass gun killings occur regularly in the US, but the Americans never learn. The world has grown bored of "yet another mass killing by some gun crazy American."

American democracy was one of the first on the planet - a historical experiment. The US "founding fathers" were

"democratic amateurs" who didn't know what they were doing. The resulting "presidential democracy" that they created contrasts greatly with the "parliamentary democracy" of most countries, which democratized later. Most of these countries consider the parliamentary form of democracy to be superior to the US presidential form.

In the US form, the leader is elected (more or less) directly by the people, and advertising plays a huge role in getting a US president elected. Hence American presidential candidates go through the "American political circus" that takes a year, to roam around the country shaking hands and taking bribes from corporations to pay the hefty costs of the TV ads.

In a parliamentary system, the people elect the party, usually with proportional representation, and the winning party politicians then elect their leader, who becomes the leader of the country. This way, the leader is more likely to be a competent politician, rather than a popular fool as is too often the case in the US. (Imagine if the bumbling Palin had become US president?! But the US did have a cowboy actor as a president, and a gum chewing football player who (mis)led the country!)

In a democracy, government should be "for the people, by the people" but in the US, the corporations have such a bribing stranglehold on American politicians, that the politicians have to do what the corporations want, and to hell with the people. The people don't have the money to bribe the politicians to pay for the advertising costs to get reelected. American politics is in need of fundamental reform. Its current old fashioned system stinks. It needs to be reformed into a modern parliamentary system that listens to the people's needs, and not just to the corporations needs. Then the US can claim to be a democracy of and for the people.

Here is a case where CB (culture bashing) is sorely needed. The Americans are so insular minded, and their television is so dumbed down (owned by ad driven corporations who pitch their programs at the majority, i.e. the "peakers," whose IQs lie in the peak of the Bell curve) that most Americans learn very little about the rest of the world.

If the world "CBs" the US for its "rotting democracy," most Americans will not listen. Hence the strength of the CBing against it will need to be hefty. Polite criticism will not shake the American nationalist monos out of their political complacency.

The Indians are stupid. Their average IQ is only 85, compared to the Americans 100, and the Chinese 105. Therefore it will be virtually impossible for the Indians to compete effectively with the Chinese to become the superpower of the 21st century (before all cultures are finally swallowed by Globa.)

The Indians are far dirtier and poorer than the Chinese and have contributed very little to world culture, unless you count their propensity towards creating religions (Hinduism, Buddhism, Jainism, etc) but in a culturally homogenized world, it is likely that European style secularism will win out, so India's absorption in religious superstitions will only hold it back.

India's basic lack of raw intelligence will be a harder fact to swallow than some more malleable cultural custom. Even worse will be the same argument for black Africa which is far dumber, with an average IQ of 70. India and Africa (and the Arabs) will have a harder time reaching western levels of material affluence, due to their lower average IQs. But it is a fact, as shown by 100s of studies, so will have to be accepted, and absorbed, no matter how unflattering it is.

You will see that CB (Culture Bashing) is hard to swallow, but it is necessary to culturally homogenize the planet. If one is a mono, then being humbled by the "wisdom of the global crowd" will force one to face up to one's mono cultured inferiorities. Hopefully this awareness will motivate monos to update their inferior customs.

d) Dedictation

Dedictation means the active process of ridding the world of its last dictatorships. 90% of people living outside of China live in democracies, making China the "big bad backward exception."

Globists want to live in a war free world. Experience shows that democracies rarely go to war with each other (their voting populations do not allow it), so Globists are very keen on the world becoming totally democratic. In today's world (2012) two thirds of countries are democracies (i.e. having several political parties competing in periodic elections.) Countries are democratizing at the rate of about 2 per year. The Arab countries are finally starting to democratize now. China is the big hold out.

So, Globists suggest strongly to people around the world, that whenever they see Chinese tourists in their country that they approach them and make them feel inferior with questions like "When is China going to democratize?" "Why is China so politically backward?" "Is it true you Chinese still have over 1000 slave labor camps for political prisoners (laogai)?" "Is it true Mao killed more people than Stalin or Hitler?" "Why do you Chinese tolerate living in such a political shit hole?"

When 100 million Chinese tourists a year feel that the world looks down of them for not being a civilized democratic country, they will then start soul searching and putting pressure on their brutal dictatorial government to either get out of power (which may involve a civil war, that may kill millions) or (more intelligently) to reform itself into a democratic party using the highly effective message to the voting Chinese public – "Do you want your country run by a bunch of amateurs, and see the world's highest average economic growth rate evaporate?" It would be a powerful slogan, and one that a reformed CCP (Chinese Communist Party) ought to adopt, thus increasing its chances of actually winning the Chinese elections (plus utterly disassociating itself from Mao Zedong, the greatest

killer tyrant in history, who killed more people than Stalin or Hitler, yet his face is still on the Chinese money, which is about as immoral as if the Europeans were crazy enough to put Hitler's face on the Euro.

Once China democratizes (which should happen in about a decade, after its standard of living goes over the usual "democratic threshold" of about \$6000-\$8000 per year per person, that research on 100 other democratizing countries over the past half century, shows is when single party dictatorships transition to multi party democracies), and Russia completes its very partial democratization, then the "big 5" (China, India, US, Europe, Russia) can bully the rest of the "dictatorial stragglers" into becoming democracies through sheer economic and moral political pressure.

Hence the democratization of China is critical for dedictation, and the creation of a fully global democratic world. Globists place a lot of emphasis on the dedictation of China.

e) Globist Organizations

Globists need to organize. Their first step is to consolidate their ideology. This essay attempts to help in that regard. It tries to show what Globism is, why it is desirable, and how it can be achieved.

Once the ideology has been established enough, Globists need to start forming groups, at grass roots level, at national level and globally. The Globists will need to do what many other political groups have done in the past, e.g. create their slogans, their flag, their anthem, their political pamphlets, their media stars, etc. They will have a heavy task ahead of them, because creating a culturally homogenized world will be very difficult. Nationalist "tribal" loyalties will be very difficult to overcome. A lot of education will be necessary to get people to think globally, to abandon their old religious superstitions, their nationalistic fantasies, etc.

f) Edsats (Education Satellites), Globiversities

Globists consider education to be critical. It will be impossible to make a Globan out of an illiterate peasant who (as the Chinese say) "doesn't even know one (Chinese) character."

One of the great goals of the Globists is to make everyone affluent. One vital condition for this to happen is that everyone is given the means to educate themselves to the limit of their ability (if they choose to.)

The Europeans are now sending up internet satellites that beam down the internet to satellite receivers. This technology is being applied first in Europe, then Africa etc. From the Globist point of view, these internet satellites have a wonderful "dedictatorial potential." If the black African peasants can become educated, by absorbing the world's knowledge beamed down by ultra broad band internet satellites, then they will become middle class, and like so many other countries in the world, they will acquire

middle class aspirations, i.e. they will want to live in a democracy, with rule of law and accountable political leaders who can be thrown out when they become incompetent.

These "edsats" (education satellites) could beam down all the educational material needed to lift an impoverished, corrupt, "dictated to" population into the middle class. Edsats, fed by content coming from global universities ("globiversities") would be a very powerful tool for dedictation.

The Chinese government realizes this, so that China is now the only third world dictatorship that has anti satellite weapons. The edsats could dedictate the whole planet with the exception of China, which may mean that China will be the last country in the world to democratize, a huge black mark against them for future centuries (rather like their current status of being the only country not to use an alphabet in their writing. The Chinese use an ancient, prealphabetic "character based" system that requires the memorization of 1000s of characters, instead of an alphabet of two dozen letters – utterly stupid, and something the world will reject when China becomes more powerful. The big world will force little China to alphabetize its writing.

So, given China's anti satellite weapons, the most effective way to dedictate China will be to put moral pressure on China's tourists (and its leaders at international meetings.) The Chinese government censors the internet in China. It employs some 30,000 programmers to do this. When 100

million Chinese tourists travel internationally each year, they will be "corrupted" by the ideas and standards of cultures greatly superior and more civilized than their own, with its CCP, its laogai, its Mao, etc.

So Globists should push the world to push the Chinese, the biggest obstacle to dedictation and hence to globification.

3. Conclusions

The Globists have a dream. They want to live in a global state, one that is totally democratic, where everyone has access to the world's knowledge, and speaks the world language. In such a culturally homogenized world, it will be easier to create a globally unified political state, "Globa." This "Globa" would be hugely more desirable than today's "Sovereign Nation State System" where 200 countries are all preparing for the next war, spending nearly 2 trillion dollars a year on arms. Globists want a war free world, an educated, civilized world, and they think that modern and near future technologies will make it possible.

Globists are pushing for the creation of Globa, so that it might also be able to tackle the hugely bigger problem of the rise of the massively intelligent machine (the "artilect (artificial intellect)). Whether Globa can prevent a massive global civil war (the "Artilect War") over the issue of "species dominance" (i.e. whether humans or artilects should be the dominant species) is a whole other issue, and the topic of many other of the writer's essays.

Prof. Dr. Hugo de Garis is the author of the book "Monos and Multis: What the Multicultured Can Teach the Monocultured: Towards the Creation of a Global State" (available at amazon.com)