

# **MGTOW “CASTLES”, MASCULIST “POLICE” A MASCULIST GROUP IN EVERY UNIVERSITY**

**Prof. Dr. Hugo de Garis**

profhugodegaris@yahoo.com

<http://profhugodegaris.wordpress.com>

I'm constantly struck by the political passivity of MGTOWs, who simply accept the gender status quo as a given, rather than as seeing it as a parvenu (a recent interloper) that needs to be removed as a cancer from men's bodies.

I suppose this is to be expected given that most MGTOWs are young, 20s, 30s, so have grown up with feminist gynocentrism, rather than seeing it as a rather recent (dating from the 70s) social movement. In the 70s I was a young man in my 20s and an avid male feminist, because I was hoping that feminism would make women “interesting” i.e. that I could share my intellectual passions for physics and math with women, but it never happened.

Half a century later men still win 99% of the science Nobel prizes and women continue to make a negligible contribution to world intellect, so I've come full circle. I'm as condescending of women's intellects today as I was growing up in the Australian suburban doldrums in the 50s and 60s. I looked at my school

friends' housewife mothers and found them as paralytically boring as my own mother, who nagged my father constantly while watching stupid TV movies every evening.

Then in the 70s, after the rise of the contraceptive pill, the greatest social revolution in history, women could reliably control the number of kids they had and swarmed into the work force to become FIPs (financially independent persons), well, a lot of them, not all, and that is part of the problem I will now address.

Masculists (men's libbers) are very conscious that there are still far too many fluffies around (fluffies, based on the word "fluff", i.e. light, not serious, not adult, not responsible, not career competent - are traditional women who expect to be able to parasite off a man's money) who still look on men as exploitable check books. Masculists' primary political aim is to wipe out fluffies, by refusing to have relationships with them, thus forcing them to rot on the shelf and be poor, unless they convert themselves into being FIPs.

Masculists are aware that ridding the world of fluffies will solve many of the gender issues that afflict men, e.g. the financial massacring of tens of millions of men in the US divorce courts that have been taken over by fluffie feminists (i.e. feminists who still have fluffie attitudes when it comes to men), the lack of a parer (paternity rejection right) which is a major and blatant sexual discrimination against men, lots of other legal and social discriminations against men, etc.

To rid the world of fluffies will require a political, ideological, social, legal organization that will place enormous moral pressure

on women to become FIPs, and to make the gender laws “men fair.” This is where the masculists come in.

**EACH UNIVERSITY SHOULD HAVE A MASCULIST GROUP** that educates its male students not to marry and have kids in today’s fluffie feminist dominated divorce courts. These masculist groups should teach young men that marriage is toxic, that if they marry they will have a fifty fifty chance of being financially massacred, of losing their kids, their house, and having to pay child support for decades and if their ex-wife is a fluffie, maybe even alimony, with no moral or legal obligation on the fluffie ex-wife to get off her parasitic bum and get a job.

These university masculist groups should push for the making of men fair gender legislation, and harangue the female students, half of whom are studying career incompetent majors, who in their 30s, when their biological clocks are ticking, will start looking around for some robot male (a traditional male who expects to be parasited upon by a fluffie wife) to parasite upon, who can pay for her to live in a middle class house and raise HER kids.

The universities desperately need masculist groups to restore the balance against the feminist dominated atmosphere. If a feminist harangues a male student about rape or whatever, he can slam back, with an accusation that that women is a future “career incompetent” who will later try to enslave a robot male financially. He can use real moral anger against her and silence her with the force of his conviction, and scare her that maybe she will never get a man, because of her fluffiness and her negative attitude towards men.

The above reasoning seems all so obvious to me, yet the MGTOWs simply accept the status quo, and do not try to change it. Instead, they just walk away, refusing to have relationships with fluffies, fluffie feminists, and often with any kind of woman. The disadvantage with this strategy of just walking away, is that those social forces and ideas that are creating these male dumping feminists, and especially the fluffie feminist dominated divorce courts, will CONTINUE TO EXIST.

There will be little to stop them, whereas with large numbers of university masculist groups, one in every university, a collective masculist consciousness will arise, and society, and the laws will change, which is what the masculists want.

To make an analogy, and hence the point of the title of this comment, the MGTOW approach of passive resistance, of simply walking out of marriage and not having children (70% of young men under 35 in the US refuse to marry) will not solve men's problems at its source, i.e. feminism and fluffie feminist laws will continue to exist, and hence continue to afflict men, so men will need constant protection against them, i.e. men will need MGTOW "castles" to protect them against the feminist "pillagers" of men's lives and money.

The masculists on the other hand see the bigger picture and argue that building MGTOW "castles" is not necessary if there are no feminist pillagers, no fluffie feminist dominated gender laws, by installing a masculist "police force", that destroys the feminist pillagers and the fluffie feminist dominated gender laws.

(Historically, castle building died out, once nation states arose, with their national police forces which were set up to arrest the pillagers.) In essence, the MGTOWs react to the feminist dominated gender status quo by building “castles,” whereas the masculists destroy that status quo by installing a masculist “police force.”

When nearly all women have a powerfully socially conditioned expectation (created by the masculist “police force”) that they are to be FIPs or they will not get a man, then men’s lives will be a lot better than they are today, where nearly half of men are being financially massacred in the divorce courts.

So, to you young men reading this, if you are university students, my strong advice to you is to set up masculist groups at your universities, and build up a powerful national and international men’s movement to create a masculist “police force” rather than building MGTOW “castles.”

Destroy the enemy at its source, by wiping out fluffies, and fluffie feminists, masculist style, with a masculist “police force”, rather than just protecting yourself against fluffie feminist pillaging with MGTOW “castles.”